



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,968	08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.12	4756
7590	03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS W. BULCHIS, ESQ. DORSEY AND WHITNEY LLP U.S. BANK CENTRE, 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 3400 SEATTLE, WA 98101			DIAZ, JOSE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/652,968	AGARWAL, VISHNU K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José R Diaz	2815	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 43,78,84,86 and 87 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 43,78,84,86 and 87 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of the amended claims 43 and 78 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Wertheimer et al. and Howard. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 43, 78, 84 and 86-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wertheimer et al. (US Pat. No. 4,599,678) in view of Howard (US Pat. No. 6,350,706 B1).

Regarding claims 43, 78, 84 and 86-87, Wertheimer et al. teaches a method of passivating a multilayer conductive structure, comprising: layering a first conductive material (3) (see fig. 1); introducing said first conductive material (3) to an organosilicone material (5) (see fig. 1 and col. 4, lines 4-12); and layering a second conductive material (7) over said first conductive material (3) (see fig. 1).

However, Wertheimer et al. fails to teach that the organosilicone material is methylsilane and directing ultraviolet light toward the methylsilane.

Howard teaches that it is well known in the art to use methylsilane as the organosilicone material, and to direct ultraviolet light toward the methylsilane (see col. 5, lines 15-17, 20-25, and 30-39). In addition, Howard teaches that it is well known in the art adjust the power of the irradiation step as desired (see col. 10, lines 5-10). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the UV energy at a power level ranging from about 50-3000 watts, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Huang*, 40 USPQ2d 1685,1688(Fed. Cir. 1996) citing *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233., 235 (CCPA 1955).

Wertheimer et al. and Howard are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the steps of introducing the first conductive material to methylsilane and directing ultraviolet light toward the methylsilane. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Howard, is reducing the number of processing steps (col. 1, lines 60-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Howard with Wertheimer et al. to obtain the invention of claims 43, 78, 84 and 86-87.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Li et al. (US Pat. No. 6,156,674) discloses a treatment using methylsilane (see abstract and col.1, lines 9-12).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRD
3/17/04

Tom Thomas
TOM THOMAS
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Patent Examining Group